



Order Filed on June 11, 2024
by Clerk,
U.S. Bankruptcy Court
District of New Jersey

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)

In Re:

Ayyaz Ahmed and Aysha Khan
Debtors.

Case No.: 23-21777

Chapter: 7

Hearing Date: June 11, 2024

Judge: Stacey L. Meisel

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered two (2) through two (2) is **ORDERED**.

DATED: June 11, 2024


Honorable Stacey L. Meisel
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Debtors: Ayyaz Ahmed and Aysha Khan
Case No.: 23-21777
Caption of Order: ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY
Page: 2 of 2

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court on a *Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay* by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the “**Creditor**”); this Court finds:

WHEREAS the *Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay* is deficient for the following reason(s):

- ☐ **Failure to use required Local Forms.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9009-1.
- ☐ **Failure to follow the requirements of Local Rule 9013-1, specifically:**
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a notice of motion stating the date, time, and place of the hearing.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(1).
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a certification containing the facts supporting the relief requested in compliance with D.N.J. LBR 7007-1.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(2).
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a memorandum of law stating the legal basis for the relief requested, or a statement why a memorandum of law is unnecessary.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(3).
 - ☐ **Failure to submit a proposed form of order.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-4.
 - ☐ **Failure to file a certification of service.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 9013-1(a)(5) and Local Form.
- ☐ **Failure to effectuate proper service.** *See* D.N.J. LBR 5005-1, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 9014, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7004, and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9010 as well as the Register of Governmental Units available on the Court’s website.
- ☒ **Other:** Failure to timely file an amended certification of service that would allow parties an opportunity to timely object prior to the scheduled hearing date. Since 11 U.S.C. § 362(e)(2) only permits the Court a certain period of time to decide this motion without a consensual adjournment from the movant, the Court must deny the motion. Creditor delayed in serving the motion for a week after being notified by the Court that service was deficient.

It is hereby

ORDERED that the *Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay* is denied, without prejudice.